

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box N50
Alexaddria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.sispid.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,826	03/24/2004	Jeffrey J. Jonas	SVL920050505US2	4343
45729 GATES & COO	7590 05/31/200 OPER LLP	EXAMINER		
6701 CENTER SUITE 1050	DRIVE WEST	YALEW, FIKREMARIAM A		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/807,826	JONAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fikremariam Yalew	2136			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the state of the state	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/21/04,12/26/06.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-30 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fleishman (US Patent No 4,232,313) in view of Dube (US Patent No 7,177,426).
- 4. As per claims 1,16: Fleishman discloses a method/System for processing data comprising: receiving a plurality of fixed coordinates that represent a location of an item (See col 40 lines 60-66);

Fleishman does not disclose utilizing a cryptographic algorithm to process the plurality of fixed coordinates forming a processed data; and comparing the processed data to at least a portion of secondary data.

However Dube utilizing a cryptographic algorithm to process the plurality of fixed coordinates forming a processed data (See col 5 lines 14-24); and comparing the processed data to at least a portion of secondary data (See Fig 13 step 1310, Fig 15 steps 1510). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify the

Art Unit: 2136

method for processing data of Fleishman with the encryption of Dube because the encryption protects the sensitive data.

- 5. As per claims 2,17: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose further comprising the step of receiving data representing the location of the item and determining the plurality of fixed coordinates that represent the location of the item prior to receiving the plurality of fixed coordinates (See Fleishman Col 13 lines 35-56 and col 30 lines 10-29).
- 6. As per claims 3,18: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method further comprising the step of storing the processed data in a database (See Fleishman col 8 line 62 through col 9 line 5 and col 20 lines 13-25).
- 7. As per claim 4,19: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method wherein the step of comparing the processed data to at least a portion of secondary data includes the secondary data comprising data previously stored in a database (See Fleishman col 14 lines 41-47 and col 15 lines 10-30).
- 8. As per claims 5,20: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method further comprising the step of matching the processed data to the at least a portion of secondary data that is determined to reflect an identical one of the plurality of fixed coordinates (See Fleishman col 14 lines 41-47 and col 15 lines 10-30).
- 9. As per claims 6,21: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method further comprising the step of issuing a signal based upon a user-defined rule(See Fleishman col 40 lines 55-69).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2136

- 10. As per claims 7,22: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method wherein the step of determining the plurality of fixed coordinates that represent the location occurs in relation to a grid (See Fleishman col 40 lines 52-57).
- 11. As per claims 8,23: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method wherein the grid comprises a uniform grid (See Fleishman Fig 10).
- 12. As per claims 9,24: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method wherein the grid comprises a non-uniform grid (See Fig Fleishman Col 5 lines 58-68).
- 13. As per claims 10,25: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method wherein the grid is a multi-dimensional grid (See Fleishman Fig 10).
- 14. As per claims 11,26: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method wherein the grid is based upon a user-defined criterion (See Fig Fleishman Col 5 lines 58-68).
- 15. As per claims 12,27: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method wherein the user-defined criterion corresponds with quantity (Fleishman col 4 lines 1-7).
- 16. As per claims 13,28: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method wherein the user-defined criterion corresponds to time (Fleishman col 4 lines 1-7).
- 17. As per claims 14,29: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method wherein the step of determining the plurality of fixed coordinates that represent the location includes the step of determining a nearest of the plurality of fixed coordinates (See Dube col 4 lines 25-31 and col 5 lines 42-45).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2136

18. As per claims 15,30: the combination of Fleishman and Dube disclose the method wherein the step of determining a plurality of fixed coordinates that represent the location includes the step of determining the plurality of fixed coordinates surrounding the location (See Dube col 4 lines 25-31 and col 5 lines 42-45).

Conclusion

- 19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892.
- 20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fikremariam Yalew whose telephone number is 5712723852. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Moazzami Nasser, can be reached on 5712738300. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-4195. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Art Unit: 2136

Page 6

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fikremariam Yalew 05/22/07 FA Art Unit 2136

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

5/26/07